**Entanglement in Spin Chains** 

## Vladimir Korepin

## Yang Institute for Theoretical Physics,

State University of New York at Stony Brook,

**Stony Brook** 

Entanglement is a resource for quantum computation. How much quantum effects we can use to control one quantum system by another.

## OUTLINE

1. Entanglement of two quantum subsystems A and B. Simplest case when the whole system  $\{A, B\}$  is in a pure state: a unique wave function  $|\Psi^{A,B}\rangle$ . Entropy of a subsystem is a measure of entanglement.

2. The wave function will be a unique ground state of a dynamical model: interacting spins, Bose gas or strongly correlated electrons. Universal properties of entropy of a large subsystem. Easy to explain when entanglement is absent:

$$|\Psi^{A,B}
angle = |\Psi^{A}
angle \otimes |\Psi^{B}
angle \Longleftrightarrow$$
 no entanglement

But if the wave function is a sum of several such terms

$$|\Psi^{A,B}\rangle = \int_{j=1}^{d} |\Psi_{j}^{A}\rangle \otimes |\Psi_{i}^{B}\rangle$$
 entangled.  
Here  $d > 1$  and  $|\Psi_{j}^{A}\rangle$  are linear independent; as well as  $|\Psi_{j}^{B}\rangle$ .  
Measure of entanglement? C.H. Bennett, H.J. Bernstein,  
S.Popescu, and B.Schumacher 1996 entropy of a subsystem :

$$S=-tr_{A}\left(
ho_{A}\ln
ho_{A}
ight),\qquad
ho_{A}=tr_{B}\left(|\Psi^{A,B}
angle\langle\Psi^{A,B}|
ight)$$

Contra-intuitive features: Two spins 1/2 forming spin 1.

 $|\uparrow\rangle \otimes |\uparrow\rangle$  no entanglement

but middle component with  $S_z = 0$  is maximally entangled:

 $|\uparrow\rangle \otimes |\downarrow\rangle + |\uparrow\rangle \otimes |\downarrow\rangle$  maximum entanglement

Entanglement depends on  $S_z$ .

Ferromagnetic XXX:

$$\mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{f}} = -\sum\limits_{j=1}^{L} \left\{ \sigma_{j}^{x} \sigma_{j+1}^{x} + \sigma_{j}^{y} \sigma_{j+1}^{y} + \sigma_{j}^{z} \sigma_{j+1}^{z} 
ight\}$$

 $\sigma$  are Pauli matrices.  $[H_f, S_z] = 0$ ,  $S_z = (\sum_{i=1}^L \sigma_i^z)$ 

We can fix  $S_z$  to fix ground state uniquely:

Maximum of  $S_z = (\sum_{j=1}^{L} \sigma_j^z)$ 

 $|\uparrow\rangle \otimes |\uparrow\rangle \dots \otimes |\uparrow\rangle$  no entanglement.

We can lower  $S_z$  by applying the operator  $S^- = (\Sigma_{j=1}^L \sigma_j^-)$ :  $|\Psi_{fm}\rangle = (S^-)^M (|\uparrow\rangle \otimes |\uparrow\rangle \dots \otimes |\uparrow\rangle)$  entangled Non-trivial magnetization  $S_z/L$  if  $M \to \infty$  proportionally to  $L \to \infty$ . We shall artificially represent the ground state as by partite system:

Block of spins on an space interval [1, x] is the subsystem A, the rest of the ground state is the subsystem B. Entanglement of a block of spins on a space interval [1, x] with the rest of the ground state  $|\Psi_{fm}\rangle$ .

Density matrix of the block  $\rho(x)$  the entropy of the block S(x).

F

$$S(x) o rac{1}{2} \ln(x), \qquad x o \infty,$$

Double scaling limit  $1 \ll x \ll L$ . Salerno and Popkov 2004

Anti - ferromagnetic XXX:

$$\mathrm{H_{af}} = \sum\limits_{j} \left\{ \sigma_{j}^{x} \sigma_{j+1}^{x} + \sigma_{j}^{y} \sigma_{j+1}^{y} + \sigma_{j}^{z} \sigma_{j+1}^{z} 
ight\}$$

The ground state is unique and has spin 0. Also a gapless case, but for low lying excitations energy is proportional to momentum  $\epsilon \sim p$ . So one can use conformal field theory for evaluation of asymptotic of entropy of a large block of spins, belonging to the ground state:

$$S(x) 
ightarrow rac{1}{3} \ln(x), \qquad x 
ightarrow \infty, \qquad {\sf AF}$$

Holzhey, Larsen and Wilczek 1994. Finite size corrections

$$S(x)=\ln(x)/3+x_0+\sum\limits_{j=1}^\infty a_j/x^j$$

Zamolodchikov, Fatteev, Takhatajan and Babujian:

$$egin{aligned} \mathrm{H}_1 = \sum\limits_n \left\{ X_n - X_n^2 
ight\} & \mathsf{spin} & \mathrm{s} = 1 \ X_n = ec{S}_n ec{S}_{n+1} = S_n^x S_{n+1}^x + S_n^y S_{n+1}^y + S_n^z S_{n+1}^z \end{aligned}$$

Solvable by Bethe Ansatz.

**Higher spin** s Faddeev 1983:

$$egin{aligned} &\mathrm{H}_s = \mathop{\scriptscriptstyle\sum}\limits_n F(X_n), & F(X) ext{is a polynomial of degree } 2\mathrm{s} \ &F(X) = 2\mathop{\scriptscriptstyle\sum}\limits_{l=0}^{2\mathrm{s}} \mathop{\scriptscriptstyle\sum}\limits_{k=l+1}^{2\mathrm{s}} rac{1}{k} \mathop{\scriptscriptstyle\sum}\limits_{\substack{j=0\\j 
eq l}}^{2\mathrm{s}} rac{X-y_j}{y_l-y_j}, & y_l = l(l+1)/2 - \mathrm{s}(\mathrm{s}+1) \end{aligned}$$

The entropy of a block of x spins V.K. 2003:

$$S\left(x
ight)=rac{\mathrm{s}}{\mathrm{s}+1}\ln x,\quad ext{as}\quad x
ightarrow\infty$$

Other models: Bose gas with delta interaction:

$$H=egin{array}{l} dx \left[ \partial \psi_x^\dagger \partial \psi_x + g \psi^\dagger \psi^\dagger \psi \psi 
ight].$$

Here  $\psi$  is a canonical Bose field and g > 0 is a coupling constant.

$$\mathcal{H}_N = - \sum\limits_{j=1}^N rac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j} + 2g \sum\limits_{N \geq k > l \geq 1} \delta(x_k - x_l)$$

Bethe Ansaz: E. Lieb, W.Liniger in 1963.

The entropy of gas on a space interval [0, x] also scales as

$$S(x) 
ightarrow rac{1}{3} \ln(x), \qquad x 
ightarrow \infty$$

V.K, 2004

The Hubbard model *H*:

$$H=-\sum\limits_{\substack{j=1\\sigma=\uparrow,\downarrow}}(c_{j,\sigma}^{\dagger}c_{j+1,\sigma}+c_{j+1,\sigma}^{\dagger}c_{j,\sigma})+u\sum\limits_{j=1}^{\Sigma}n_{j,\uparrow}n_{j,\downarrow}$$

Here  $c_{i,\sigma}^{\dagger}$  is a canonical Fermi operator on the lattice [creates of an electron] and  $n_{j,\sigma} = c_{j,\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j,\sigma}$  is an operator on number of electrons in cite number j with spin  $\sigma$ , u > 0. Lieb and Wu in 1968. Below half filling [less then one electron per lattice cite] both charge and spin degrees of freedom can be described by Virasoro algebra with central charge equal to 1, Korepin, Frahm **1990** :  $c_c = 1$  and  $c_s = 1$ .

$$S\left(x
ight)=rac{2}{3}\ln x$$

V.K. 2004. At half filed band  $S(x) = (1/3) \ln x$ 

**Rényi** entropy also scales logarithmically

$$S_lpha = rac{1}{1-lpha} \ln Tr(
ho_A^lpha) = \left( rac{1+lpha^{-1}}{6} 
ight) \ln x$$

Bai Qi Jin , Korepin 2004

The entropy of a block of spins for a model with a gap approaches a constant  $S_{\infty}$  as the size of the block increases:

$$S(x) o S_\infty$$
 as  $x o \infty$ 

The Hamiltonian of XY model :

$$H = -\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (1+\gamma) \sigma_n^x \sigma_{n+1}^x + (1-\gamma) \sigma_n^y \sigma_{n+1}^y + h \sigma_n^z$$

Here  $\gamma$  is anisotropy parameter:  $0 < \gamma < 1$  and 0 < h is a magnetic field and  $\sigma_n$  are Pauli matrices. Solution, phase transitions: Lieb, Schultz, Mattis, Barouch and McCoy. Toeplitz determinants and integrable Fredholm operators were used for evaluation of correlation functions, The model has three different cases:

- 1. Case 1a:  $2\sqrt{1-\gamma^2} < h < 2$ . medium magnetic field
- 2. Case 1b:  $0 \leq h < 2\sqrt{1-\gamma^2}$  , small magnetic field
- 3. Case 2: h > 2, strong magnetic field

A.Its, B.-Q. Jin, V. Korepin 2004: Case 1b

$$S_{\infty} = rac{1}{6} \left[ \ln \left( rac{k^2}{16k'} 
ight) + \left( 1 - rac{k^2}{2} 
ight) rac{4I(k)I(k')}{\pi} 
ight] + \ln 2$$
  
with  $k' = \sqrt{1 - k^2}$ , and $k = \sqrt{rac{1 - (h/2)^2 - \gamma^2}{1 - (h/2)^2}} \qquad I(k) = \int_0^{\pi/2} rac{dlpha}{\sqrt{1 - k^2 \sin^2 lpha}}$ 

I(k) is the complete elliptic integral of the first kind:

I. Peschel 2004: Case 1a: medium magnetic field

$$S_{\infty} = rac{1}{6} igg[ \ln igg( rac{k^2}{16k'} igg) + igg( 1 - rac{k^2}{2} igg) rac{4I(k)I(k')}{\pi} igg] + \ln \ 2,$$

and in Case 2 : strong magnetic field

$$S_{\infty} = \frac{1}{12} \left[ \ln \frac{16}{(k^2 k'^2)} + (k^2 - k'^2) \frac{4I(k)I(k')}{\pi} \right], \qquad (1)$$

$$\boldsymbol{k} = \begin{cases} \sqrt{(h/2)^2 + \gamma^2 - 1} / \gamma, & \text{Phase 1a} \\ \gamma / \sqrt{(h/2)^2 + \gamma^2 - 1}, & \text{Phase 2} \end{cases}$$
(2)

Consider range of variation:  $S_{\infty}$  reaches minimum as ordered states and it reaches maximum at phase transitions [disordered states].

Local minimum  $S_\infty = \ln 2$  at boundary between cases 1a and 1b:  $h = 2\sqrt{1-\gamma^2}$ 

The ground state is doubly degenerated:

$$\ket{G_1} = \prod\limits_{n \in ext{lattice}} \left[ \cos( heta) | \uparrow_n 
ight
angle + (-1)^n \sin( heta) | \downarrow_n 
angle ]$$

$$\ket{G_2} = \prod_{n \in ext{lattice}} \left[ \cos( heta) \ket{\uparrow_n} - (-1)^n \sin( heta) \ket{\downarrow_n} 
ight]$$

Each state is factorized and has no entropy.

 $\cos^2(2 heta) = (1-\gamma)/(1+\gamma)$ 

Absolute minimum of is reached at  $h \to \infty$  :  $S_{\infty} \to 0$  as the state becomes ferromagnetic  $\uparrow \ldots \uparrow$ .

These are most ordered states. These is a gap.

Phase transitions: as the gap closes  $S_{\infty} \rightarrow +\infty$ 

**1.** Critical magnetic field:  $h \rightarrow 2$  and  $\gamma \neq 0$ :

$$S_\infty o -rac{1}{6} \ln |2-h| + rac{1}{3} \ln 4\gamma + O(|2-h| \ln^2 |2-h|)$$

**2.** An approach to XX model:  $\gamma \rightarrow 0$  and 0 < h < 2:

$$S_{\infty} 
ightarrow -rac{1}{3} \ln \gamma + rac{1}{6} \ln (4-h^2) + rac{1}{3} \ln 2 + O(\gamma \ln^2 \gamma)$$

This agrees with conformal approach of P. Calabrese, J. Cardy and Toeplitz determinant approach of B.-Q. Jin, V.Korepin. Let us consider another gapped spin chain introduced by Affleck, Kennedy, Lieb, and Tasaki: AKLT model also known as VBS. It consists of a chain of N spin-1's in the bulk, and two spin-1/2 on the boundary. We shall denote by  $\vec{S}_k$  the vector of spin-1 operators and by  $\vec{s}_b$  spin-1/2 operators at boundaries.

$$H = \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \left( ec{S}_k ec{S}_{k+1} + rac{1}{3} (ec{S}_k ec{S}_{k+1})^2 
ight) + \pi_{0,1} + \pi_{N,N+1}.$$

Notice that  $\frac{1}{2}\vec{S}_k\vec{S}_{k+1} + \frac{1}{6}(\vec{S}_k\vec{S}_{k+1})^2 + \frac{1}{3}$  is a projector on a state of spin 2. The terms  $\pi$  describe interaction of boundary spin 1/2 and next spin 1;  $\pi$  is a projector on a state with spin 3/2:

$$\pi_{0,1} = rac{2}{3} \left( 1 + ec{s_0} ec{S_1} 
ight), \quad \pi_{N,N+1} = rac{2}{3} \left( 1 + ec{s_{N+1}} ec{S_N} 
ight).$$

Ground state is unique and there is a gap:



a dot is spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ ; circle means symmetrisation [makes spin 1]. A line is a anti-symmetrisation  $(|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle)$  lower joint spin. Correlation function are:  $\langle \vec{S}_x \vec{S}_1 \rangle \sim (-1/3)^x = p(x)$ Fan, Korepin and Roychowdhury calculated entropy of a finite block of spins in the ground state on a finite lattice:

$$egin{split} S(x) &= 2 + rac{3(1-p(x))}{4}\log\left(1-p(x)
ight) - \ &-rac{1+3p(x)}{4}\log\left(1+3p(x)
ight), \end{split}$$

In double scaling limit the expression simplifies:

$$S(x) 
ightarrow 2 - (3/2) p(x)$$
 as  $x 
ightarrow \infty$ 



AKLT construction is universal. Consider Cayley tree: each lattice site has three neighbors; no loops. Spin at a lattice site is 3/2. Each dot is spin 1/2, circle is symmetrisation, line is anti-symmetrisation.

The Hamiltonian of the AKLT model is

$$H = \sum_{(i,j)} P_3(\vec{S}_i + \vec{S}_j)$$
(3)

 $P_3$  is a projector on a joint state with spin 3, and (i, j) are neighbors on the lattice. The ground state is unique and similar to 1D case. Symmetrisation in each lattice cite and antisymmetrisation along the links. There is a gap. We calculated the entropy of a block of spins:



Figure 1: Cayley tree has no loops. Dotted circle shows the block of spins.  $S_{\infty}$  = number of links necessary to cut to isolate the block. Up to now we considered only pure states: unique ground state. How we measure the entanglement in mixed states? First in models described by conformal field theory one can calculate the entropy of a block of spins for positive temperature:

$$S(x) = rac{1}{3} \ln \left( rac{v_s}{\pi T} \sinh \left[ rac{\pi T x}{v_s} 
ight] 
ight)$$

V.K. 2003. For large blocks

$$S(x)=rac{\pi Tx}{3v_s}$$
 as  $x o\infty$ 

This is second law of thermodynamics. At large temperature the block of spins strongly interacts with the environment [rest of the ground state] off-diagonal elements of density matrix vanish [de-coherence]. The block turns into a classical system of macroscopical size. Lot of entropy, but no quantum effects.

For mixed states entropy of a subsystem is not a measure of entanglement. What is a measure of entanglement of two quantum subsystems A and B which stay together in a mixed state  $\{A, B\}$ ? We can describe the state  $\{A, B\}$  by a density matrix  $\rho_{A,B}$ . In 2001G. Vidal and R.F. Werner suggested negativity as measure of entanglement. Recall that  $\rho_{A,B}$  is a positive matrix. But partially transposed  $ho_{A,B}^{T_A}$  does not have to be positive. A measure of entanglement of two quantum subsystems A and Bin a mixed state  $\{A, B\}$  is negativity:

$$\mathcal{N}_{A,B} = |_{\Sigma}$$
 negative eigenvalues of  $ho_{A,B}^{T_A}|$ 

Vidal and Werner proved that it vanishes for unentangled states.  $\mathcal{N}_{A,B}$  does not increase under LOCC. Negativity does not increase under local manipulations of the system. It provides a bound on the teleportation capacity and on the distillable entanglement of mixed states. Negativity can be used to quantify a degree of entanglement in mixed systems. Sometimes logarithmic negativity also used

$$\mathcal{E} = \log_2\left(2\mathcal{N}(
ho) + 1
ight)$$

## **SUMMARY**

The entanglement has to be measured differently for pure and mixed states. Entropy of a subsystem measures entanglement at zero temperature only. In double scaling limit it shows universality. For gapped models entropy of a subsystem is proportional to the area of the boundary. In 1D many gapless models can be described by conformal field theory. Still one should be careful, for some gapless models  $\epsilon \sim p^2$ , then the entropy also scales logarithmically but the coefficient is different. Analysis of entropy helps to find most ordered states.

- [1] C.H. Bennett, H.J. Bernstein, S. Popescu, B. Schumacher, Phys. Rev. A 53, 2046, (1996)
- [2] C.H.Bennett, D.P.DiVincenzo, Nature 404, 247 (2000)
  [3] C.Holzhey, F.Larsen, F.Wilczek, Nucl. Phys. B 424, (1994)
  [4] M.E.Fisher and R.E.Hartwig, Adv. Chem. Phys. 15, (1968)
  [5] A.Affleck, T.Kennedy, E.H.Lieb and H.Tasaki, Phys. Rev. Lett. 59, 799 (1987).
- [6] G.Vidal, J.I.Latorre, E.Rico, and A.Kitaev, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90,227902-1 (2003)

- [7] S. Michalakis, B. Nachtergaele, Entanglement in Finitely Correlated States, math-ph/0606018
- [8] S. Lloyd, Science 261, 1569(1993)
- [9] V. Popkov, M. Salerno, quant-ph/0404026
- [10] J. P. Keating, F. Mezzadri, Commun. Math. Phys., Vol. 252 (2004), 543-579
- [11] J. P. Keating, F. Mezzadri, Phys.Rev.Lett. 94 (2005) 050501
- [12] V. Popkov, M. Salerno and G. Schuetz, quant-ph/0506209[13] M.Srednicki, Phys.Rev.Lett.71,666(1993).

- [14] A.A. Belavin, A.M. Polyakov and A.B. Zamolodchikov, Nucl. Phys. B,241, 333 (1984)
- [15] V.E.Korepin, Phys.Rev. Lett.92, 096402 (2004), condmat/0311056
- [16] P. Calabrese, J. Cardy, hep-th/0405152
- [17] F.D.M. Haldane Phys. Rev. Lett., 47, 1840 (1981)
- [18] I. Affleck, Phys. Rev. Lett. 56, 746 (1986)
- [19] F.Verstraete and J.I.Cirac, quant-ph/0311130.
- [20] M.B.Plenio, J.Eisert, J.Dreißig, and M.Cramer, Phys.Rev.Lett.94,060503(2005).

- [21] A.Hamma, R.Ionicioiu, P.Zanardi, Phys.Lett.A 337, 22 (2005);Phys.Rev.A 71,022315 (2005).
- [22] F.Verstraete, M.A.Martín-Delgado, J.I.Cirac, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 087201 (2004).
- [23] F.Verstraete, M.Popp, J.I.Cirac, PRL92, 027901 (2004).
- [24] H. Fan, V. Korepin, V. Roychowdhury, PRL, 93, 227203, 2004
- [25] A. R. Its, B.-Q. Jin, V. E. Korepin, Journal Phys. A: Math. Gen. 38, 2975, 2005
- [26] I. Peschel, Journal of Statistical Mechanics P12005, 2004

[27] M. Takahashi, Thermodynamics of One-Dimensional Solvable Models, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1999

[28] E.H. Lieb, W. Liniger, Phys. Rev. 130, 1605, (1963)

- [29] E. Lieb, T. Schultz and D. Mattis, Ann. Phys. 16, 407, (1961)
- [30] E. Barouch and B.M. McCoy, Phys. Rev. A 3, 786, (1971)
- [31] E. Barouch, B.M. McCoy and M. Dresden, Phys. Rev. A 2, 1075, (1970)
- [32] D.B. Abraham, E. Barouch, G. Gallavotti and A. Martin-Löf, Phys. Rev. Lett. 25, 1449, (1970); Studies in Appl. Math. 50, 121, (1971); ibid 51, 211, (1972)

[33] V.E. Korepin, N.M. Bogoliubov and A.G. Izergin, Quantum inverse scattering method and correlation functions, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1993

[34] K. Huang, Statistical Mechanics, Wiley, 1987

[35] L.D. Landau, E.M. Lifshitz et al Statistical Physics, 3rd edition, Butterworth-Heinemann, (2002)

[36] B.-Q. Jin and V.E. Korepin, arXiv: quant-ph/0304108

[37] E.H. Lieb, F.Y. Wu, Phys. Rev. 20, 1445, (1968)

[38] F.H.L. Essler, V.E. Korepin, Exactly Solvable Models of Strongly Correlated electrons, World Scientific ,1994

[39] H. Frahm and V. Korepin, Phys. Rev. B,42, 10553 (1990)

- [40] R.R.P. Singh, M. E.Fisher and R.Shankar, Phys. Rev. B, 39, 2562, 1989
- [41] H. Bethe, Zeitschrift fur Physik 71, 205, 1931
- [42] A.Zamolodchikov, V.Fateev, Jadernaya Fizika 32, 581, 1980
- [43] L.A. Takhatajan, Phys.Lett. A 87, 479, 1982
- [44] H.M. Babujian, Nucl.Phys.B bf215, 317, 1983
- [45] V.O. Tarasov, L.A. Takhatajan and L.D. Faddeev, Teor. Mat. Phys. 57, 163, 1983
- [46] P.P.Kulish, N.Yu. Reshetikhin, and E. K. Sklianyn, Lett. Math. Phys 5, 393, 1981

[47] I. Affleck, FIELD THEORY METHODS AND QUANTUM CRITICAL PHENOMENA, Les Houches Summer School 1988:0563-640 (QCD161:G65:1988)

- [48] P. A. Deift, Integrable operators, in Differential Operators and Spectral Theory, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl., Ser. 2, vol. 189. pp. 69-84, 1999.
- [49] A. R. Its, A. G. Izergin, V. E. Korepin, N. A. Slavnov, Journal Mod. Phys. B, 1003, (1990) bibitemhi J. Harnad, A. R. Its, Commun. Math. Phys. 226 (2002), 497-530
- [50] P. A. Deift, A. R. Its, X. Zhou, Annals of mathematics, 146, 149–235 (1997)