

école normale supérieure de Lyon

EN

Non

Mesoscopic devices for cavity QED

From quantum optics to quantum impurities

P. Degiovanni



Mutual influence of small quantum systems

Coupling of several qubits: achieved through an intermediate system



Main problems: Mutual influence through the "quantum bus"? Correlations and dynamics of the two qubits ?

Introduction and motivations

Cavity QED



Lowest frequency mode
$$\omega_0 = \frac{\pi v}{L}$$
$$g \sim \frac{\pi v}{L} \beta$$

Jaynes-Cummings hamiltonian: valid for $g \ll \omega_0, \omega_{eg}$, i.e. for $\beta \ll 1$

$$\hbar^{-1}H_{JC} = \omega_0(a^{\dagger}a + 1/2) + \frac{\omega_{eg}}{2}\sigma^z + \frac{g}{2}(a^{\dagger}\sigma^- + a\sigma^+)$$

Quantized mode

2-level system

dipolar coupling

Master equation for the qubit + cavity system:

$$\frac{d\rho}{dt} = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \left[H_{JC}, \rho \right] + \sum_{a} \mathcal{L}(\rho)$$

Strong coupling: $g \gg \kappa, \gamma_r$

Atom / mode interaction dominates dissipative processes.

Dissipation terms (Markovian)

Introduction and motivation

Experimental realizations of cQED

ENS



Rydberg atoms in superconducting cavities



Single atoms in optical cavities



Josephson qubits in superconducting resonators



Micropillars and photonic bandgap cavities

Introduction and motivation

Quantum optics

Higher modes can usually be neglected

Main interest: quantum state preparation, evolution and measurement Notable exception: non linear quantum optics

Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 140504 (2005)

Condensed matter physics

Qubit / cavity optimization: leads to higher values of the coupling ! Nanomechanics: achieves the super-strong coupling regime

Europhys. Lett. 78, 60002 (2007)

Our work: other systems also correspond to the original cQED problem (1D cavity + two level system), mapping on a double quantum impurity problem.

Europhys. Lett. 68, 37-43 (2004)

with S. Camalet, J. Schriefl and F. Delduc

Introduction and motivation

Two qubits coupled to a transmission line

Wires and dots system



Double one channel, spin 1/2 Kondo problem

Bosonic field on a finite line

$$H_B = \frac{v}{2} \int_{-L}^0 \left(\hbar^{-1}\Pi^2 + \hbar(\partial_x \Phi)^2\right) dx$$

Circuit QED: double spin-boson

$$H_j^{\text{qb}} = -\frac{B_j^z}{2}\sigma_j^z - \frac{B_j^x}{2}\sigma_j^x \qquad \qquad H_j^{\text{int}} = \frac{\nu\beta_j}{2}\Pi(x_j).\sigma_j^z$$

After polaronic transformation: double Kondo

$$H_{K} = H_{B} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=-L,0} B_{j}^{x} \left(e^{i\beta\Phi(x_{j})}\sigma_{j}^{+} + e^{-i\beta\Phi(x_{j})}\sigma_{j}^{-} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=-L,0} B_{j}^{z}\sigma_{j}^{z}$$

The coupling constant determines the dimension of the boundary operator.

The charge stored in the red dashed box is conserved



$$\frac{1}{\beta} \int_{-L}^{0} \hbar^{-1} \Pi(x) \, dx - \frac{1}{2} \left(\sigma_0^z + \sigma_{-L}^z \right) = n_0^G + n_{-L}^G - 1 \, .$$

Josephson qubits

Josephson qubits in a resonator

From devices to double Kondo

ENS

Supra	Resonant levels	Kondo
$v = 1/\sqrt{LC}$	$v = v_F$	$v = v_{\rm spin}$
$\beta_j = \frac{2C_j}{C_j + C_j^{J+g}} \sqrt{\frac{R}{\hbar/e^2}}$	$\beta^2/\pi = 1/g_{\rm Lutt}$	$rac{eta_j^2}{2\pi} = 1 + rac{1}{2\pi} rac{J_j^z}{\hbar v_s}$
$H_j^{\rm qb} = -\frac{B_j^z}{2}\sigma_j^z - \frac{B_j^x}{2}\sigma_j^x$	$B^x \propto t / \sqrt{a}$ $B^z = \mu$	$B^x = J_\perp/2\pi a$ $B^z = \mu_0 h^z$
	$\frac{1}{2}(1-\sigma^z) = d^{\dagger}d$	$\sigma^z/2 = S^Z$
$\beta \lesssim 0.3$	$eta=\sqrt{\pi}$	$\beta = \sqrt{2\pi}$
Circuit QED devices	Fermi liquid	Isotropic Kondo

Strategy





Reflexion matrices and influence lengths

Fermionic Fabry-Perot cavity

Stationary wave condition for bouncing fermionic excitations

$$1 + e^{2i(kL - \pi\chi)} R_0(k) R_{-L}(k) = 0$$

Reflexion matrices:

$$R_j(k) = \frac{k - k_j - i\alpha_j}{k - k_j + i\alpha_j}$$

$$\xi_j \simeq (\hbar v)^2 / a E_J^2$$

 $k_j = B_j^z / \hbar v$

The Kondo energy scale

Each quantum impurity introduces an energy scale at the boundary which gives a length scale $\xi_j = 1/\alpha_j$

Represents the influence zone of each quantum impurity (Kondo cloud).

Ground state energy from boundary field theory

Boundary field theory

Each boundary created correlated pairs of excitations (Cooper pairs).

For $L \gg \hbar v/k_B T$, Cooper pairs do not overlap: the two qubits do not influence each other.

Free energy at T=0 (ground state energy)

Computation of the scalar product of boundary states (Caux et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88** (2002) 106402).

Free fermion point: Chatterjee contour integral method (Mod. Phys. Lett. A 10 (1995), 973).

Ground state energy from boundary field theory

General structure at vanishing temperature:

$$\log \left(Z(\beta) \right) \simeq -\beta_T \left(LE_{\text{bulk}} + E_{\partial} + \frac{h_0[(\alpha_j, k_j)_j]}{L} \right) \simeq -\beta_T E_0$$

Explicit result:

where $X(k) = e^{2i(kL - \pi\chi)} R_0(k) R_{-L}(k)$

Mutual influence effects are contained in the logarithmic integral.

Non perturbative approach

The logarithmic formula computes the ground state energy in the grand canonical ensemble whereas the fixed charge condition means that we are working in the canonical ensemble.

Grand canonical ensemble: change of vacuum when a one-particle energy level crosses zero.

Canonical ensemble: we musk keep the same one-particle energy levels occupied.

Solution: the logarithmic formula should be supplemented by an analiticity requirement.

 ϵ_{n+1}

Caux, Saleur and Siano, PRL **88** (2002), 106402 Nucl. Phys. **B 672** (2003), 411.

Non perturbative approach

Maximum correlation

Question: when are the correlations maximal in term of other parameters ?

ENS

Populations and correlations

Large Kondo clouds $\xi_0 = \xi_{-L} = 200L$

EN

Fermionic one particle energy levels

 $2e\beta(\langle \mathcal{N} \rangle + \chi) = \sum_{j} C_g^{(j)} V_g^{(j)}$ $V_2 L = 1.2 \hbar v$

Maximum correlation correspond to maximal hybridization betweeen the line and the impurities.

Exhaustion criterion of Nozières.

Results

Physical picture

Efficient screening.

same line participate to the Kondo cloud (exhaustion criterion)

This picture should be valid generally.

Results

Conclusion

Description of three mesoscopic double quantum impurity problems by the double Kondo model.

Exact solution at its Toulouse point (non trivial analyticity issues).

Identification of two different regimes (Kondo and cavity regimes). Role of Kondo lengths scales.

Perspectives

Exact solution at other points (TBA, Destri-DeVéga eqs.).

Introduction of dissipation at the Toulouse point.

Real time dynamics at the Toulouse point (forced mesoscopic systems).

Thanks a lot for your attention.

Circuit QED with two end qubits

ENS

Double spin boson model with transmission line

Josephson qubits

Josephson qubits in a resonator

A mesoscopic Kondo problem

Magnetic impurities: two spin 1/2 coupled to local fields along z

Quantum wire of finite length with spin 1/2 electrons (gapless spin degrees of freedom).

Anisotropic exchange interaction between electrons and impurities

$$H_j = J_j^{\perp} \{ S_j^x \mathcal{S}^x(j) + S_j^y \mathcal{S}^y(j) \} + J_j^z S_j^z \mathcal{S}^z(j)$$

Quantum dots and wires

Tunneling between a quantum wire and two resonant levels

Luttinger liquid for spinless electrons (Haldane 1981, Fabrizio & Gogolin 1995)

Interaction parameter g_{Lutt} Renormalized Fermi velocity v_F

Tunneling between and infinite wire and one resonant level Furusaki and Matveev, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 (2002), 226404.

Populations and correlations

 $V_2L = 1.2\hbar v$

Study of the double Kondo model

VO.

EN